An Effective Wiki:

Here is the grading rubric that is used for the wiki – here is how you should analyze it – give the wiki that you review a score for each of the following.

Wiki

Objectives

1. To **understand**, **analyze** and **evaluate** the trends highlighted in the World is Flat.
2. To **create** a project wiki page that details this investigation and synthesis of the material.
3. To use Web 2.0 tools to facilitate **collaboration** as well as **creation**.

Criteria Description	Marks
A Finding and Understanding (Research)	3
B Analysing and Evaluating (Content)	12
C Communicating and using Web 2.0 Tools (Organisation and Presentation)	5
TOTAL	20

3 Areas (Descriptors)

Criterion A: Finding and Understanding (Research)

Level Descriptor

0 The work does not meet the objective for this topic. (See topic objectives on the wiki page.)

1 Some information has been accessed and is shown in a list of resources that may or may not be cited correctly.

2 A variety of information from appropriate sources has been accessed and organised. There is a list of resources that is mostly cited correctly, some of which are referred to on the wiki page.

3 A variety of information from appropriate sources has been accessed and relevant material has been carefully selected. There is a list of correctly cited resources all or most of which are referred to on the wiki page. The bookmarking tool (del.icio.us) and tagging have been used effectively.

Criterion B: Analyzing and Evaluating (Content)

Give each area below a score of 0, 1, or 2

Level Descriptor (for maximum marks)

Language used

0-2 The language used communicates clearly and precisely. Terminology is used accurately, with skill and understanding, and use of non-explained jargon is avoided.

Introduction

0-2 The group is introduced and the context of the project is clearly described. The introduction explains the significance of the topic and gives an overview of the content of the wiki page.

Information Technology (IT) Concepts

0-2 The IT background (concepts, together with developments and trends) relevant to the topic has been described and explained with the support of appropriate examples.

Current News and Issues

0-2 Current news and issues relevant to the chosen topic have been listed, discussed and analyzed.

Areas of Impact

0-2 The areas of impact for the topic have been clearly described on the wiki page. The issues related to the chosen trend and the areas of impact are identified, discussed and evaluated.

What the Future Will Look Like

0-2 There is discussion and suggestions, with supporting explanations, with regard to 'what the future will look like' in relation to the chosen areas of impact.

Criterion C: Communicating and Using Web 2.0 Tools (Organization and Presentation)

Give below a score of 0-5

Level Descriptor (for maximum marks)

0 The work does not meet the standard described in level 1-2.

1-2 The information on the wiki page is poorly organized and has limited visual appeal. Graphics are sometimes relevant to the topic. There is limited use of Web 2.0 tools, hyperlinks and other hypermedia. The presentation of information is not always balanced and may show some bias and insensitivity in the use of images and icons.

3-4 The information on the wiki page is organized so that it is relatively easy to understand and has some visual appeal. Graphics are generally relevant to the topic and support the written text. Web 2.0 tools, hyperlinks and other hypermedia are used effectively. The presentation of information is mostly objective and free of bias, and some sensitivity has been shown in the use of images and icons.

5 The information on the wiki page is effectively organised so that it is easily understood and is visually appealing. Graphics are relevant, explained and support the written text. A

range of Web 2.0 tools are used effectively. Hyperlinks and other hypermedia aid understanding and add interest to the topic. The presentation of information is objective, balanced and free of bias (e.g. age, culture, gender, race), and images and icons are used sensitively.

What are the areas that need to be improved on for this wiki?

Is it readable? Does it need to be more concise? Are images distracting or add to the project? Is it organized? Does it flow? Are sources cited?

What are the things about this topic that interest me?